1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RONALD GARNES. 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV. S-03-0527 FCD EFB P 12 VS. 13 ANTHONY LAMARQUE, et al., Respondents. 14 ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of 17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On September 26, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner 22 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the 25 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and 26 by proper analysis.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed September 26, 2006, are adopted in
3	full; and
4	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.
5	DATED: January 25, 2007.
6	9/108
7	Must C mm
8	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	